

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

WAYMO LLC,

Plaintiff,

vs.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;
OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING LLC,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 3:17-cv-00939

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFF WAYMO LLC'S MOTION
FOR RELIEF FROM NON-DISPOSITIVE
PRETRIAL ORDER OF MAGISTRATE
JUDGE (DKT. 1276)**

1 Plaintiff Waymo LLC (“Waymo”) has filed a Motion for Relief from Non-Dispositive Pretrial
2 Order of Magistrate Judge (Dkt. 1276) (the “Motion”).

3 Having considered the Motion, as well as any response thereto, the Court hereby **SUSTAINS**
4 Waymo’s objection and **GRANTS** the Motion. **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Magistrate Judge
5 Corley’s July 12, 2017 Order Granting in part Uber’s Motion to Compel Interrogatory and Document
6 Responses is VACATED as it pertains to Waymo’s Responses to Interrogatory Nos. 18, 19, and 25,
7 and Request for Production Nos. 166, 170, 172, and 173.

8 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

9 Dated: _____, 2017

10 _____
11 HON. WILLIAM ALSUP
12 United States District Court Judge
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28